UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/807,833 | 03/24/2004 | Theodore C. Goldstein | 2095.001000/P3125US1 | 4323 |
| | 7590 04/16/200 IORGAN & AMERSO | EXAMINER | | |
| 10333 RICHMO | OND, SUITE 1100 | WU, JUNCHUN | | |
| HOUSTON, TX | X / / 042 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2191 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/16/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/807,833 | | GOLDSTEIN ET AL. | | | |
| | | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | JUNCHUN W | U | 2191 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appe | ears on the co | ver sheet with the c | orrespondence a | ddress | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLEN TO STATE OF THE INSIGN OF TH | MAILING DA- s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c | TE OF THIS 5(a). In no event, I I apply and will expanse the application | COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tin bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>21 Jan</i> | nuary 2008 | | | | | |
| · · · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 January 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition | <i>,</i> — | | | secution as to th | e merits is | | |
| - , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or | election requ | irement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | | | obiected to by the I | Examiner. | | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any obje | | - | - | | | | |
| | | | | - | | ER 1 121(d) | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) 5) 6) | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other: | ate | | | |

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-53 are pending in this application.

2. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on Jan. 21, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims are 1, 2, 4-8, 10-11, 18, 20-23, 28, 30-33, and 38-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans et al. (US Pat. No. 6, 836,884 B1, hereinafter "Evans").

 Per claim 1

Evans discloses

A method for use in developing a program, comprising compiling at least a portion of a source code program defined by a waypoint during the editing of the source code program (col.2 lines 38-52 e.g. "compiling the edited intermediate language component using the intermediate language (e.g., JIT) compiler to create an edited native code component, which may then be executed from the point where program execution left off". & "The invention thus advantageously allows a software developer to interactively execute portions of the code, make revisions or changes, and continue execution without having to restart the program execution from the beginning after each edit").

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 2

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• identifying the waypoint in an edited source code during editing of the source code (col.2)

lines 22-25 "The invention provides for partial execution of a native program in common

language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be

suspended at a point in the program.").

• compiling the source code up to the identified waypoint before completing the edit of the

source code (col.2 lines 31-34 "While program execution is suspended, the user may

modify or edit one or more portions of the source code component, and resume execution

of the program at the point where execution was suspended.").

Per claims 4 and 10

the rejection of claims 1 and 8 are incorporated and Evans further discloses

identifying a second waypoint in the source code during editing of the source code; and

compiling the source code from the first waypoint to the second waypoint before

completing editing of the source code (col.5 lines 43-47 "user allow to edit the source

code component which is compiled ... ").

Per claim 5

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• completing editing of the source code; and compiling the source code from the second waypoint to the end of the source code (col.5 lines 50-55 "...executing the edited native code component ...").

Per claim 6

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

 saving the edited source code (col.3 lines 1-5; editing tools which implicitly comprise save functionality).

Per claims 7 and 11

the rejection of claims 1 and 8 are incorporated and Evans further discloses

 compiling the source code from the waypoint to the end of the source code upon completing editing of the source code (col.3 lines 15-21).

Per claim 8

Evans discloses

• A method for use in developing a program, comprising: identifying a waypoint in an edited source code program during editing of the source code program (col.2 lines 22-25 "The invention provides for partial execution of a native program in common language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be suspended at a point in the program."); and compiling the source code program up to the identified waypoint before completing editing of the source code program (col.2 lines 31-34 "While").

Art Unit: 2191

program execution is suspended, the user may modify or edit one or more portions of the source code component, and resume execution of the program at the point where execution was suspended.").

Per claim 18

Evans discloses

A method for suspending compiler execution prior to reaching the end of a source code program, comprising:

- identifying a waypoint in the source code program (col.2 lines 22-25 "The invention provides for partial execution of a native program in common language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be suspended at a point in the program.").
- compiling a portion of the source code program whose lower bound is defined by the identified waypoint (col.2 lines 45-52 "In addition, the as-needed compilation avoids unnecessary compiling where an edited portion of the program, such as a method, is not called subsequent to the point where execution is restarted. The invention thus advantageously allows a software developer to interactively execute portions of the code, make revisions or changes, and continue execution without having to restart the program execution from the beginning after each edit.")
- suspending compilation of the source code program once the portion whose lower bound is identified by the waypoint is compiled (col.2 lines 31-34 "While program execution is suspended, the user may modify or edit one or more portions of the source code

Art Unit: 2191

component, and resume execution of the program at the point where execution was

suspended.").

Per claim 20

the rejection of claim 18 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

suspending compilation of the source code program once the portion whose lower bound

is identified by the waypoint is compiled includes at least one of removing a

corresponding task from a work queue in an IDE, storing the compiled code in a shadow

location, and suppressing errors or warning (col.7 lines 50-53 "...executing a first portion

of a native code component and suspending execution of the native code component at a

first point")..

Per claim 21

the rejection of claim 18 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• the upper bound of the portion is defined by the start of the source code program or

another waypoint (col.2 lines 46-53).

Per claim 22

Evans further discloses

A method for resuming compiler execution of a suspended compilation, comprising:

• triggering the compilation of a portion of a source code program whose upper bound is

defined by an identified waypoint and compiling the portion of the source code program

Art Unit: 2191

whose upper bound is defined by the identified waypoint (col.7 lines 50-64 "method comprises executing a first portion of native code...").

Per claim 23

the rejection of claim 22 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• triggering the compilation of the portion of the source code includes identifying the waypoint (col.2 lines 22-25 "The invention provides for partial execution of a native program in common language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be suspended at a point in the program.").

Per claim 28

Evans further discloses

A method for building a source code program capable of suspending and resuming compilation, comprising:

- identifying a waypoint in a source code program being edited (col.2 lines 22-25 "The invention provides for partial execution of a native program in common language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be suspended at a point in the program.").
- triggering a compilation of a portion of the source code program defined by the waypoint and compiling the portion of the source code program defined by the waypoint (col.7 lines 50-64 "method comprises executing a first portion of native code...").

Art Unit: 2191

• suspending the compilation of the portion defined by the waypoint once the compilation reaches the waypoint (col.7 lines 50-53 "...executing a first portion of a native code

component and suspending execution of the native code component at a first point").

triggering the compilation of the remainder of the source code program; and resuming the

compilation of the source code program to compile the remainder (col.2 lines 34-41

"resume execution of the program at the point where execution was suspended...").

Per claim 30

the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

triggering the compilation of the portion of the source code includes identifying the

waypoint (col.7 lines 50-64 "method comprises executing a first portion of native

code...").

Per claim 31

the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

suspending compilation of the source code program once the portion whose lower bound

is identified by the waypoint is compiled includes at least one of removing a

corresponding task from a work queue in an IDE, storing the compiled code in a shadow

location, and suppressing errors or warning (col.7 lines 50-53 "...executing a first portion

of a native code component and suspending execution of the native code component at a

first point")..

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 32

the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• the upper bound of the portion is defined by the start of the source code program or

another waypoint (col.2 lines 46-53).

Per claim 33

the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

triggering the compilation of the remainder of the source code program includes

identifying a second waypoint, saving the source code program, or ending an editing

session (col.2 lines 34-41 "resume execution of the program at the point where execution

was suspended...").

Per claim 38

Evans discloses

A method for managing the output of a compile, comprising:

• compiling at least a portion of a source code program defined by a waypoint during the

editing of the source code program in a first phase (col.2 lines 31-34 "While program"

execution is suspended, the user may modify or edit one or more portions of the source

code component, and resume execution of the program at the point where execution was

suspended.").

Art Unit: 2191

• compiling the remainder of the source code program in a subsequent phase (col.2 lines

34-41 "resume execution of the program at the point where execution was

suspended...").

notifying a user of any errors that may have occurred during the compilation (col.21 & 22)

see Interface ICorDebugErrorInfoEnum in Table).

Per claim 39

the rejection of claim 38 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• the portion comprises a portion of the source code program defined by the start of the

source code program and the waypoint (col.3 lines 28-33 "The OS module list produced

by the scanner may identify both operating system modules and portions of those modules

needed for the application program, the portions identified by conditional compiler

directives instructing the selective compiler to compile only the portions of the modules

which it receives.")

Per claim 40

the rejection of claim 38 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• the portion comprises a portion of the source code program defined by the waypoint and

the end of the source code program (col.8 lines 18-24).

Per claim 42

the rejection of claim 38 is incorporated and Evan further discloses

Art Unit: 2191

• comprising scrapping the compiled first and second portions (col.8 lines 52-56).

Per claim 43

the rejection of claim 42 is incorporated and Evan further discloses

scrapping the compiled first and second portions includes one of scrapping the compiled

first and second portions responsive to the notification and scrapping the compiled first

and second portions responsive to a user input (col.14 lines 30-35).

5. Claims 12, 15-17, 24, 27, 44-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Keeley (US Pat. No. 6, 138,271 B1, hereinafter "Keeley").

Per claim 12

Keeley discloses

A method for modifying a compiler to engage in rapid compilation, comprising:

• identifying a file reader portion of the compiler (col.6 lines 24-29 "an operation

designated by the name 40 "F1" might be contained at a module 44 at a defined set of

instructions following a header F1. Of course it is not necessary that the operation 44 be

contiguous provided its location can be defined.").

modifying the identified file reader to read a portion of a source code program defined by

a waypoint from a standard input (col.6 lines 36-47 "Within each operation of the

uncompiled operating system are conditional compilation instructions ... ").

Per claim 15

Art Unit: 2191

the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• the waypoint is identified by one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition and

identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition (col.8 lines 19-24).

Per claim 16

the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• the waypoint defines a lower bound of the portion of the source code program (col.8 lines

32-36 "the part of operation F1 that is compiled when the define flag X is defined...").

Per claim 17

the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• the waypoint defines an upper bound of the portion of the source code program (col.8)

lines 32-36 "the part of operation F1 that is compiled when the define flag X is

defined...").

Per claim 24

Keeley discloses

A method for identifying a command and associating it with a file that is being edited,

comprising:

• modifying a file reader of a compiler to read from a standard input (col.6 lines 24-29 "an

operation designated by the name 40 "F1" might be contained at a module 44 at a

Art Unit: 2191

defined set of instructions following a header F1. Of course it is not necessary that the

operation 44 be contiguous provided its location can be defined.").

triggering the compilation of a portion of a source code program whose upper bound is

defined by an identified waypoint; invoking the compiler to read the file from the

modified file reader through the standard input (col.6 lines 36-47 "Within each operation

of the uncompiled operating system are conditional compilation instructions ...")

Per claim 27

the rejection of claim 24 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• triggering the compilation of the portion of the source code includes identifying the

waypoint (col.6 lines 36-47 "Within each operation of the uncompiled operating system

are conditional compilation instructions ... ").

Per claim 44

Keeley discloses

A method for use in developing a program, comprising:

• identifying at least two or more instructions in a file to compile (col.6 lines 36-40).

• compiling the identified instructions while the file is being edited (col.6 lines 41-45).

Per claim 45

the rejection of claim 44 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

Art Unit: 2191

• The instructions are identified at a predetermined line number in the source code

program, identifying the instructions at the point of insertion for a text editor, identifying

the instructions after a predetermined number of branches as conditionals, identifying the

instructions at a predetermined text offset (col.18 lines 22-27)

Per claim 46

the rejection of claim 44 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

identifying at least two more instructions in the file during editing; and compiling the

second two or more instruction while the file is being edited (col.6 lines 25-26 "F1

contained a module at a defined set of instructions following a header").

Per claim 47

the rejection of claim 44 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• completing editing of the file; and compiling the remainder of the edited file (col.8 lines

25-30).

Per claim 48

the rejection of claim 44 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

comprising saving the edited file (col.2 lines 52-53; editing tools which implicitly

comprise save functionality).

Per claim 49

Art Unit: 2191

the rejection of claim 44 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• compiling the remainder of the edited file upon completing editing of the file (col.8 lines

25-30).

Per claim 50

Keeley discloses

A method for compiling a source code program, comprising:

• identifying an upper bound for a portion of the source code program to compile (col.6)

lines 36-40)

• identifying a lower bound for the portion (col.6 lines 36-40)

• compiling the portion defined by the upper and lower bounds during an editing session on

the source code program (col.16 lines 41-47 "conditional compilations instructions ...

are conditional on define flag ...").

Per claim 51

the rejection of claim 50 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• at least one of identifying the upper bound and identifying the lower bound includes one

of identifying the bound from a static definition and identifying the bound from a

dynamic definition (col.6 lines 21-29 "Refer to Fig. 4 computer code comprising each

module 44 of the operating system 18" is structured to be contained in a well-defined

location held in an operating system index 38. For example an operation designated by

Art Unit: 2191

the name 40 "F1" might be contained at a module 44 at a defined set of instructions following a header F1.").

Per claim 52

the rejection of claim 50 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• identifying a third bound in the edited source code during editing of the source code; and compiling the source code from the lower bound to the third bound before completing editing of the source code (col.8 lines 32-39).

Per claim 53

the rejection of claim 50 is incorporated and Keeley further discloses

• compiling the source code from the lower bound to the end of the source code upon completing editing of the source code (col.8 lines 18-22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 9, 19, 29 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, in view of Keeley.

Art Unit: 2191

Per claims 3 and 9

the rejection of claims 1 and 8 are incorporated

Evans does not implicitly discloses

• identifying the waypoint includes one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition

and identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition

But Keeley discloses

• identifying the waypoint includes one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition

(col.6 lines 25-28 "F1 contained at a module at a defined set of instructions following a

header") and identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition (col.6 lines 36-40

"...conditional compilation instructions of the form ...").

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify teaching of Evans with the teachings of Keeley to

include identifying the waypoint includes one of identifying the waypoint from a static

definition and identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition in order to provide a

method for the application program where portion identified by conditional compiler

directives instructing the selective compiler to compile only portions of the modules it

receives (col.3 lines 27-33).

Per claim 19

the rejection of claim 18 is incorporated

reject the same reason as claim 3

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 29

the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated reject the same reason as claim 3

Per claim 41

the rejection of claim 38 is incorporated reject the same reason as claim 3

8. Claims 13, 14, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, in view of Meth (U.S. Pub No. 20020087916 A1).

Per claims 13 and 25

the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated

But Evans does not include

• modifying the identified file reader to read from the standard input includes modifying the identified file reader to read from an open system call.

However Meth discloses

modifying the identified file reader to read from the standard input includes modifying the identified file reader to read from an open system call ([0039] "whenever the program opens a file with the open() system call, the Condor user-level checkpoint mechanism intercepts the open() system call and records for itself the name of the file being opened").

Art Unit: 2191

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify teaching of Evans with the teachings of Meth to

include modifying the identified file reader to read from the standard input includes

modifying the identified file reader to read from an open system call in order to use to

open and close files whenever the program opens a file with the open system call, the

user-level checkpoint mechanism intercepts the open system call and records for itself the

name of the file being open (see [0039]).

Per claims 14 and 26

the rejection of claim 13 is incorporated and Meth further discloses

• modifying the identified file reader to read from the open system call includes modifying

the identified file reader to read from a UNIX gcc command [0039] "... via standard

UNIX system calls..." which is implicitly included gcc command).

9. Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, in

view of Sollich (U.S. Pub No. 20020016953 A1).

Per claim 34

Evans discloses

A method for using a UNIX standard input read mechanism for speculative compilation

of a source code program, comprising: identifying a waypoint in an edited source code

program during editing of the source code program (col.2 lines 22-25 "The invention

Art Unit: 2191

provides for partial execution of a native program in common language runtime system via an edit and continue component, wherein execution may be suspended at a point in

the program.").

But Evans does not disclose

invoking a compile of at least a portion of a source code program defined by a waypoint

during the editing of the source code program with a UNIX input read mechanism.

However Sollich discloses

• invoking a compile of at least a portion of a source code program defined by a waypoint

during the editing of the source code program with a UNIX input read mechanism

(Sollich [0061] "...the Integrated Development Environment or IDE invokes the compiler

for determining an appropriate context for the source code, based on where the screen

cursor is currently positioned within the code."

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify teaching of Evans with the teachings of Sollich to

include invoking a compile of at least a portion of a source code program defined by a

waypoint during the editing of the source code program with a UNIX input read

mechanism in order to get a result to the IDE which describes the current context within

the source code from compiler (see [0061]).

Per claim 35

the rejection of claim 34 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

Art Unit: 2191

the portion comprises a portion of the source code program defined by the start of the

source code program and the waypoint (col.2 lines 53-60).

Per claim 36

the rejection of claim 34 is incorporated and Evans further discloses

• the portion comprises a portion of the source code program defined by the waypoint and

the end of the source code program (col.2 lines 53-62).

10. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, in view of

Sollich and further view of Keeley.

Per claim 37

the rejection of claim 34 is incorporated

Both Evans and Sollich do not disclose

• the waypoint is identified by one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition and

identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition

But Keeley discloses

• the waypoint is identified by one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition

(col.6 lines 25-28 "F1 contained at a module at a defined set of instructions following a

header") and identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition (col.6 lines 36-40

"...conditional compilation instructions of the form ...").

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to combine teachings of Evans and Sollich and further include

the waypoint is identified by one of identifying the waypoint from a static definition and identifying the waypoint from a dynamic definition by the teachings of Keeley in order to provide a method for the application program where portion identified by conditional compiler directives instructing the selective compiler to compile only portions of the modules it receives (col.3 lines 27-33).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on Jan. 21, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the remarks, Applicant argues that:
- (a) In regard to independent claims 1, 12, 18, 22, 24, 28, 38, 44 and 50 recites a "waypoint" and performing some act defined relative to such a waypoint and each of the dependent claims 2-11, 15-17, 19-21 23, 27, 29-33, 39-43, 45-49, and 51-53 incorporates this limitation. Applicant respectfully submits those claims are novel.

Examiner's response:

Examiner disagrees.

(a) Applicant's arguments with respect to those claims above have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection - see Evans et al. and Keeley arts made of record, as applied hereto, when considered alone or in combination.

Art Unit: 2191

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Junchun Wu whose telephone number is 571-270-1250. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW

/Wei Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191